ZHŪ DÉXĪ'S TWO CLASSES OF ADJECTIVES REVISITED*

WALTRAUD PAUL

1. Introduction

Zhū Déxī (1956/80) establishes two adjectival classes for Chinese: the 'base forms' (jīběn xíngshì) and the 'complex forms' (fùzá xíngshì) (p.3), corresponding to the semantic dichotomy between the expression of a quality (xìngzhì) and the description of the state or mood of that quality (xìngzhì de zhuàngkuàng / qíngtài) (p.6). From the point of view of general linguistics, however, it is extremely puzzling to see adjectival phrases (where an adverb precedes the adjective) figuring among the complex forms as well; for in general, phrasal extensions of a lexical category should not be included in a classification that aims at a further subdivision of that lexical category i.e., in a classification which is basically made in terms of parts of speech.

I will demonstrate that the main criterion underlying Zhū's classification (not spelt out in his article) is whether a given adjective is in principle acceptable in the *de*-less modification structure or not: while the base form adjectives can modify a head noun without the subordinator *de* (modulo certain constraints), the complex form adjectives always require the presence of *de*. Given that adjectival *phrases* likewise require *de*, they have been (wrongly) included in what purports to constitute a lexical class.

Once we discard adjectival phrases from the classification, it becomes evident that the base forms and the complex forms represent two morphologically distinct classes. In other words, Chinese not only

^{*} The present article is based on my talk given at the *Dix-neuvièmes Journées de Linguistique d'Asie orientale*, CRLAO-EHESS, Paris; 30rd June - 1st July 2005. I would like to thank the audience for feedback, as well as Hsiung Huiju and Yang Zhitang for detailed discussion of the data.

distinguishes adjectives from stative verbs, but furthermore divides them into two different sub-classes with distinct syntactic and semantic properties.

This is roughly equivalent to Zhū's claim, with the notable difference that adjectival *phrases* are now excluded. This seemingly small difference is important, however, because to include adjectival phrases in the classification has obscured the fact that the two classes established by Zhū Déxī are in reality *morphological* classes. Given the existence of *two* adjectival classes, it is more than surprising that many more recent studies make the claim that Mandarin Chinese does not have a class of adjectives distinct from intransitive stative verbs (cf. among others McCawley 1992, Larson 1991, Tang 1998, Lin 2004).

2. Base forms vs. complex forms

Anyone who wants to study adjectives in Mandarin Chinese turns to Zhū (1956) and is faced with his distinction of adjectives into two classes, base forms and complex forms.

2.1 Base forms (jībĕn xíngshì)

A sample of base form adjectives includes e.g. $d\dot{a}$ 'big', $h\acute{o}ng$ 'red', $m\grave{a}n$ 'slow', $r\grave{e}$ 'hot', $li\acute{a}ng$ 'cool', $z\~{a}ng$ 'dirty', $h\acute{u}tu$ 'confuse'; $g\~{a}nj\`{n}ng$ 'clean, $gu\~{t}ju$ 'well-behaved', $c\~{o}ngm\'{n}ng$ 'intelligent', $d\grave{a}f\~{a}ng$ 'generous'. It is important to note that Zhū (1956/80:3) explicitly includes both monosyllabic and disyllabic adjectives here (a fact completely neglected by Sproat & Shih (1988), Duanmu (1997), Lu & Duanmu (2002), who wrongly claim that de-less modification is acceptable with monosyllabic adjectives only; cf. section 3.1 below).

2.2 Complex forms (fùzá xíngshì)

While the class of base forms seems straightforward because it looks homogeneous, the class of complex forms is rather puzzling because it covers rather heterogeneous subclasses.

2.2.1 REDUPLICATED ADJECTIVES

The first subclass is that of reduplicated adjectives, which subsumes different reduplication patterns such as AA(BB), AliAB, ABB etc.: xiǎo-xiǎo 'small', yuǎnyuǎn 'distant', gāngānjìng 'clean', lǎolǎoshíshí 'sincere';

húlihútu 'muddleheaded', mălimăhu 'careless'; hēihūhū 'black, dusky', rèhūhū 'warm' etc.

2.2.2. MODIFIER-HEAD COMPOUND ADJECTIVES

This class is constituted by adjectives the internal structure of which can be analysed in terms of a modifying element preceding the adjectival head. Note that this description comes from Tang (1988); Zhū only provides examples to illustrate this second class of complex form adjectives: $b\bar{\imath}ng$ -liáng 'ice-cold', $xu\check{e}$ -bái 'snow-white', $t\bar{o}ng$ -hóng 'all-red' = 'scarlet', $b\check{\imath}$ -zhí 'brush-straight' = perfectly straight', $g\check{\imath}n$ -rè 'roll-hot' = 'scalding hot'.

2.2.3. ADJECTIVAL PHRASES

Last but not least, Zhū cites adjectival phrases composed of an adverb and an adjective as the third class of complex form adjectives: hĕn dà 'very big'; fēicháng piàoliang 'extremely pretty', tíng hǎo 'very good', nàme cháng 'so long'; yòu gāo yòu dà 'both tall and big'.

3. The heterogeneity of the 'complex form' class

As evidenced by the examples constituting the different subclasses of complex form adjectives, this is a very heterogeneous class.

3.1. Reduplicated adjectives and modifier-head compound adjectives

Besides the inclusion of adjectival *phrases* among the complex forms (cf. 3.2 below), the inclusion in this class of modifier-head adjectival compounds such as $xu\check{e}$ - $b\acute{a}i$ 'snow-white' and $b\check{t}$ - $zh\acute{t}$ 'brush-straight' is another puzzling factor. The more so as the latter can also be reduplicated: $xu\check{e}b\acute{a}ixu\check{e}b\acute{a}i$, $b\check{t}zh\acute{t}b\check{t}zh\acute{t}$. The question which arises immediately is why these adjectival compounds do not figure under the base forms, but are instead - in their *non*-reduplicated form - grouped together with *reduplicated* adjectives. ¹

The key to this puzzle is the fact that both reduplicated adjectives (such as *xiăoxião* 'small', *gāngānjìngjìng* 'clean') and modifier-head adjectival compounds always require *de* when preceding a nominal head. They thus contrast with adjectives classified as 'base forms' which under certain conditions may simply be juxtaposed with the head noun, without the

_

¹ Unlike the other adjectives, modifier-head compounds 'AB' do not reduplicate as 'AABB', but as 'ABAB'. L\u00e4 et al. (2000:719) note this latter pattern as 'BABA' in order to distinguish it from the repetition of the verb as a whole 'AB AB', e.g. t\u00e4ol\u00fcn t\u00e4ol\u00fcn t\u00e4ol\u00fcn 'discuss discuss' = discuss a bit'. For the semantic and syntactic differences between the repetition of the verb and adjectival reduplication, cf. Paul (2004) and references therein.

subordinator *de* (cf. Paul 2005 for a detailed account of *de*-less modification):

- (1a) gānjìng (de) yīfu² clean SUB clothes 'clean clothes'
- (1b) gāngānjìngjìng *(de) yīfu clean SUB clothes '(thoroughly) clean clothes'
- (2a) bái (de) zhĭ white SUB paper 'white paper'
- (2b) báibái/xuĕ -bái / xuĕ -bái -xuĕ-bái *(de) zhĭ white/snow-white/snow-wh.-sn.-wh. SUB paper '(snow-) white paper'
- (3a) hóng (de) chènshān red SUB shirt 'a red shirt'
- (3b) tōnghóng/hóng-hóng *(de) chènshān all.red / red -red SUB shirt 'a scarlet shirt'

De-less modification is possible provided the resulting NP provides a natural, plausible classification, with the modifier presented as a defining property. Naturally, this is a somewhat flexible notion as evidenced by the 'gaps': cōngmíng háizi 'intelligent child(ren)' vs. *cōngmíng dòngwù 'intelligent animal(s)'; bái zhǐ 'white paper' vs. *bái shǒu 'white hand(s)'. In this respect, Chinese de-less modification is on a par with modification by a prenominal adjective in English (cf. Bolinger 1967) where similar semantico-pragmatically motivated 'gaps' are observed: a nearby building vs. *a nearby bus; a home-loving husband vs. *a mistake erasing secretary. Given that disyllabic adjectives (cf. (1a)) as well as complex modifiers are allowed in de-less modification ([yìng sùliào] yǐzi 'hard plastic chair') and that the de-less modification structure is a phrase (as evidenced e.g. by the accessibility of the head noun to syntactic processes such as deletion:

[NP huáng méigui] bǐ [NPhóng de Ø] hǎokàn 'Yellow roses are prettier than red ones.'), we cannot adduce prosodic reasons in terms of a ban against polysyllabic adjectives in the de-less modification structure or alleged wordhood of the sequence 'adjective N' as possible explanations for the unacceptability of reduplicated adjectives in de-less modification (contra Sproat & Shih 1988, Duanmu 1997, Lu & Duanmu 2002).

Instead, we propose an account in semantic terms. As noted by $Zh\bar{u}$ himself (p. 5-6), reduplicated adjectives introduce the speaker's subjective evaluation of the property expressed by the adjective rather than solely referring to that property (as base form adjectives do) (also cf. Tang 1988). Accordingly, reduplicated adjectives cannot be interpreted as defining properties and are excluded from the de-less modification structure, because

 $^{^2}$ The following abbreviations are used in glossing examples: CL classifier; NEG negation; PART sentence-final particle; PL plural (e.g. 3PL = 3rd person plural); SG singular; SUB subordinator.

the resulting NP does not satisfy the condition of a plausible, natural classification. The same reasoning applies to modifier-head compounds such as $xu\check{e}$ - $b\acute{a}i$ 'snow-white' (cf. (2b)), $b\check{r}$ - $zh\acute{t}$ 'brush-straight': as their internal structure shows, these adjectives provide a description of a property ('as white as snow', 'as straight as a brush') rather than purely referring to it.

3.2. Adjectival phrases

As noted above, including adjectival *phrases* among the subclasses of adjectives is very puzzling. This is because phrasal extensions of a lexical category should not be included in a classification that aims at further subdividing that lexical category, i.e., in a classification which basically is one in terms of parts of speech. The key to understanding $Zh\bar{u}$'s motivation for subsuming adjectival phrases under complex form adjectives again is the presence of de.

It is a well-known fact that in general *de* is obligatory when the adjective is modified by an adverb (cf. Fan Jiyan 1958: 214; Xiao Fu 1956 among others). This shows that there are not only semantic constraints on the *de*-less modification structure (it must result in a natural, plausible classification), but also syntactic ones. Apparently, only heads, but not adjectival phrases are allowed as modifiers in *de*-less modification (cf. Paul 2005 for further discussion):

- (4a) yī-tiào dà (de) gŏu 1 -CL big SUB dog 'a big dog'
- (4b) yī-tiào tèbié dà *(de) gŏu 1 -SUB especially big SUB dog 'an especially big dog'
- (5a) yī-ge cōngmíng (de) rén /háizi 1 CL intelligent SUB person/child 'an intelligent person/child'
- (5b) yī-ge fēicháng cōngmíng *(de) rén /háizi 1 CL extremely intelligent SUB person/child 'an extremely intelligent person/child'
- (6a) yī-ge xiǎo (de) chábēi 1 -CL small SUB teacup 'a small teacup'

(6b) yī-ge <u>hĕn</u> xiǎo *(de) chábēi 1 -CL very small SUB teacup 'a very small teacup'

The otherwise observable dichotomy between base forms (conditioned optionality of de) and complex forms (overall obligatoriness of de) disappears when the adjective is the head of a larger phrase (including e.g. an adverb). Accordingly, it is completely misleading to include adjectival *phrases* under the class of complex form adjectives; for this amounts to claiming a change of class membership for base form adjectives when co-occurring with an adverb.

4. Base forms vs. complex forms as two distinct morphological classes

So far we have demonstrated that the two classes established by $Zh\bar{u}$ (1956/80) are founded on the availability of de-less modification for the base form adjectives vs. the impossibility of de-less modification with complex form adjectives. Having discarded adjectival phrases, we obtain two plausible classes. We would like to go a step further now and postulate that base form adjectives and complex form adjectives represent two different morphological classes. For this claim to hold, we need to demonstrate that each class is associated with a predictable set of syntactic and semantic properties.

4.1. General properties of complex form adjectives

Reduplicated adjectives (cf. (7) - (8), (11) - (12)) and modifier-head compounds (be it in the simple (9) or the reduplicated form (10)) belong to the same complex form class whose set of properties clearly distinguishes it from base form adjectives. In general, complex forms have *all* of the following three functions: attributive, predicative, and adverbial (with the exception of reduplicated modifier-head compounds which cannot function as adverbs):³

Since non-predicative adjectives may modify a noun without de, they belong to the base forms: $ti\bar{a}nr\acute{a}n$ (de) $zh\bar{e}nzh\bar{u}$ 'natural (SUB) pearls', $f\bar{a}ng$ (de) $p\acute{a}nzi$ 'square plate' etc. (cf. Paul

³ Unlike complex form adjectives, base form adjectives - besides their attributive function - cannot always serve as predicates and adverbs. There is e.g. the well-known class of so-called *non-predicative adjectives* which need *shì...de* in order to constitute the predicate of a sentence (cf. Lü & Rao 1981, Paris 1979 among others):

⁽i) Zhèxiē zhēnzhū *(shì) tiānrán *(de) these pearl be natural DE 'These pearls are natural.'

- (7a) gāogāoxìngxìng de háizi happy SUB child 'happy children'
- (7b) Tā gāogāoxìngxìngde 3SG happy 'He is happy.'
- (7c) Tā gāogāoxìngxìngde chàng gē 3SG happy sing song 'He is singing happily.'
- (8a) hǎohǎode yì-zhī bǐ good 1 -CL pen 'a perfectly good pen'
- (8b) Wŏ zuótiān hái hǎohǎode, jīntiān jiù bìngdǎo le 1SG yesterday still good today then be.ill PART 'Yesterday, I still felt ok, but today I'm ill.'
- (8c) Nǐ hǎohǎode gēn tā shuō, bié shēng qì 2SG good with 3SG talk not produce air 'Talk to him nicely and don't get angry.'
- (9a) bĭzhí de shù-gàn perfectly.straight SUB tree-trunk 'perfectly straight tree trunks'
- (9b) Gōnglù bĭzhí
 highway perfectly.straight
 'The highway is perfectly straight.'
- (9c) Tā bĭzhíde zhàn zài lăoshī de qiánmiàn
 3SG perfectly.straight stand at teacher SUB front
 'He is standing perfectly straight in front of the teacher.'
- (10a) tōnghóng-tōnghóng de liăn all.red SUB face 'a scarlet face'

2005 for extensive discussion). As noted by Paris (1979:87), absolute i.e., non-predicative adjectives cannot be reduplicated.

As far as the adverbial function is concerned, for base form adjectives it is far from being as regular and predictable as in the case of complex form adjectives (also cf. section 5 below).

- (10b) Ta -de liăn tōnghóng-tōnghóng-de 3SG-SUB face all.red 'His face was scarlet.'
- (11) Liăn chángchángde, yáchĭ yĕ chángchángde Zhū Déxī (1956/80:11) face long tooth also long 'The face is long, and the teeth are long, too.'
- (12) Tiān yĭjīng hēihūhūde le sky already dark PART 'The sky is already dark.'

4.2. Compatibility with adverbs and the comparative construction

Unlike base form adjectives, complex form adjectives cannot appear in the comparative construction and are incompatible with degree adverbs such as *fēicháng* 'very' etc. Adverbs such as *tài* 'too' and *zhème* 'so, such' are, however, acceptable for some native speakers:

- (13) Tā -de yīfu bǐ nǐ -de
 3SG-SUB clothes compared.to 2SG-SUB
 gèng bái / gèng gānjìng /*báibáide/*gāngānjìngjìngde/*xuĕ -báide
 even white/ even clean / white / clean snow-white
 'His clothes are (even) cleaner/whiter than yours.'
- (14) Tā fēicháng pàng/*fēicháng pàngpàngde /*tài pàngpàngde 3SG very fat / very fat / too fat 'He is very/too fat.'
- (15) * Tā -de lĭansè tèbié (tōnghóng)tōnghóngde 3SG-SUB face particularly scarlet ('His face is particularly scarlet.')
- (16a) Lăo zhème màntēngtēngde kĕ bù xíng always so sluggish really NEG possible 'It's impossible to be always so sluggish.'
- (16b) Tā -de liănsè wèishénme nàme tōnghóngtōnghóngde ?
 3SG-SUB complexion why so scarlet
 'Why is his face so red?'

Reduplicated adjectives and modifier-head compounds cannot be negated by $b\dot{u}$:

- (17) Tā bù pàng /*bù pàngpàngde 3SG NEG fat / NEG fat 'He is not fat.'
- (18) Tā -de yīfu bù gānjìng /*bù gāngānjìngjìngde /*bù (xuĕbái) xuĕbáide 3SG-SUB clothes NEG clean / NEG clean / NEG s-white 'His clothes are not clean/snow-white.'

As we have seen in (7) - (12) above, complex form adjectives can function very well as predicates on their own and can be modified by VP-level adverbs such as $y\bar{i}j\bar{i}ng$ 'already', $y\bar{e}$ 'also'. Their incompatibility with $b\hat{u}$, which only negates predicative elements, and degree adverbs which are equally typical of predicative elements, cannot therefore be a matter of syntax, but must have semantic reasons.

The unacceptability of complex form adjectives in the comparative construction allows us to determine the semantic problem at stake: complex form adjectives are not admitted (cf. (13)), because a (quantitative) judgement with respect to the presence of a property is asked for, not a description of this property. The predominance of the descriptive component is particularly visible in the case of modifier-head compounds:

(19) Tā -de yīfu bù shì xuĕ -bái, *(ér shì bǐ xuĕ hái bái) 3SG-SUB clothes NEG be snow-white but be compared.to snow still white 'Her dress is not as white as snow, but even whiter than snow.'

The continuation in (19) is obligatory, because it makes explicit that it is the descriptive component which is negated, not the property itself. The latter cannot be negated, hence the incompatibility with $b\hat{u}$ (cf. (17) - (18)).

This line of reasoning is corroborated by the compatibility of complex form adjectives with adverbs of intensity such as $zh\`{e}me$ 'so, such' (cf. (16)). Consequently, complex form adjectives are not on a par with absolute adjectives, which do not allow these adverbs because they are situated at the end point of a (property) scale. Also note that absolute adjectives - being non-predicative adjectives - need $sh\`{e}...de$ in order to form a predicate (cf. footnote 3), another contrast with respect to the systematically predicative complex form adjectives.

5. Productivity of the 'AABB' derivation pattern

The systematic syntactic and semantic differences between base form and complex form adjectives discussed so far justify their analysis as two separate morphological classes, the relation between them being one of derivation. Among the different processes deriving the class of complex

form adjectives (modifier-head compound formation, total or partial reduplication etc.), the 'AABB' reduplication represents the productive and regular pattern. This is evidenced by the fact that the derivation of AABB reduplicates applies to all kinds of morphemes and is not limited to cases where a corresponding base form adjective 'AB' exists. Importantly, the resulting AABB reduplicates once again have all of the three functions typical of the complex form class: predicative ((20b), (21b)), attributive ((20c), (21c)), and adverbial ((20d), (21d)) (some reduplicates only function as adverbs, though; cf. (22) - (23)).

- (20a) pó- -pó -mā -mā 'womanish, fussy, sentimental, 'old.lady-old.lady-mother-mother (N.B. There exists no "corresponding" $p\acute{o}$ - $m\bar{a}$)
- (20b) Zhè-ge rén pó-pó-mā-mā-de this-CL person fussy 'This person is fussy.'
- (20c) Wŏ bù xĭhuan zhè-ge pó-pó-mā-mā de rén 1SG NEG like this-CL fussy SUB person 'I don't like this fussy person.'
- (21a) guĭ -guĭ -suì -suì 'furtive, stealthy, sneaky' ghost-ghost-evil.spirit-evil.spirit
 (N.B. There exists no "corresponding" guĭ-suì)
- (21b) Zhè jiāhuo guǐ-guǐ-suì-suì-de this guy stealthy 'This guy is stealthy.'
- (21c) yī-ge guĭ-guĭ-suì-suì de xiǎotōu 1 -CL stealthy SUB thief 'a stealthy thief'
- (21d) Zhè-ge xiǎotōu guǐ-guǐ-suì-suì-de pǎo dào wūzi -li lái this-CL thief stealthy run to house-inside come 'This thief ran stealthily into the house.'
- (22a) kū -kū -tí -tí 'with sobs and tears, weeping and wailing' cry-cry-weep.aloud-weep.aloud

- (22b) Tā kū-kū -tí-tí-de păo-guò -lái 3SG weeping.and.wailing run-pass-come 'He came running over weeping and wailing.'
- (23a) sān-sān-liǎng-liǎng 'by two's and three's' 3 3 2 2
- (23b) Tāmen sān-sān-liǎng-liǎng-de zŏujìn-le jiàoshì 3PL 3 3 2 2 enter -PERF classroom 'They entered the classroom by two's and three's.'

These cases present the derivative nature of reduplication in a particular clear fashion, the output being the same, independently of the category identity of the input.⁴

6. Conclusion

In the present article, we have made explicit the main criterion underlying Zhū's classification of adjectives into 'base forms' (jībĕn xíngshì) vs. 'complex forms' (fùzá xíngshì), namely the possibility of de-less modification for the base forms ('base-Adj (de) N') vs. the obligatoriness of de for the complex forms ('complex-Adj. *(de) N'). Furthermore, the two classes, which in the subsequent literature have been taken up under their corresponding semantic labels 'adjectives of quality' (xìngzhì xíngróngcí) vs. 'descriptive adjectives' (zhuàngtài xíngróngcí), can be shown to represent two morphological classes with distinct syntactic and semantic properties. This is somewhat different from Zhū (1956/80:6) for whom these two classes are the grammatical forms rendering two different concepts within the same grammatical category. While it is true that adjectival reduplication has been studied in great detail in the Chinese literature, the descriptions hardly ever go beyond the point of simply listing the semantic and syntactic properties of simple vs. reduplicated adjectives. In particular, the semantic and syntactic differences observed have not been interpreted as providing evidence for separate morphological classes of adjectives.

⁴ Incidentally, these cases equally remove any doubt about the word (X°) status of reduplicates. If a reduplicate were a phrase, its category identity would - in accordance with X-bar theory - depend on the category of its head and we would therefore wrongly predict NP status both for $p\dot{o}$ - $p\dot{o}$ - $m\bar{a}$ - $m\bar{a}$ 'womanish, fussy' in (20) and $gu\ddot{\imath}$ - $gu\ddot{\imath}$ - $su\dot{\imath}$ 'furtive, stealthy' in (21), and VP status for $k\bar{u}$ - $k\bar{u}$ - $t\dot{\iota}$ - $t\dot{\iota}$ 'with sobs and tears' in (22). In the case of (23), we would be quite at a loss to determine what kind of phrase a number projects to, numbers in Chinese forming phrases in combination with classifiers only. Besides, unlike adjectival phrases, reduplicates cannot be negated (cf. (17) - (18)) and are not acceptable in the comparative construction (cf. (13)).

As a consequence, Chinese, a language reputed to lack adjectives altogether as a part of speech different from stative verbs, turns out to have as many as *two* classes of adjectives. To acknowledge adjectives as a lexical category distinct from that of state verbs is not just a terminological detail. On the contrary, it reflects the result of an analysis demonstrating adjectives to behave differently from verbs with respect to a fixed, predictable set of properties. This is equally important for cross-linguistic studies, because only a consistently applied terminology can guarantee that the phenomena compared are really commensurate. Ultimately, the situation in Chinese described here might stimulate us to revise our preconceived ideas about the typological characteristics of so-called 'isolating' languages.

Bibliography

- Bolinger, Dwight 1967: "Adjectives in English: Attribution and Predication." In: *Lingua* 18 (1967):1-34.
- Chao Yuen Ren 1968: A Grammar of Spoken Chinese. Berkeley, University of California Press.
- Duanmu San 1997: Wordhood in Chinese. In: Packard 1997 (ed.):135-196.
- Fàn Jìyán 范継淹 1958: "Xíng míng zǔhé jiān 'de' zì de yǔfǎ zuòyòng 形名组合间 '的'字的语法作用 [The Grammatical Function of de between Adjective and Noun]." In: Zhōngguó yǔwén 中国语文 5 (1958):213-217.
- Larson, Richard K. 1991: Some Issues in Verb Serialization. In: Serial Verbs: Grammatical, Comparative and Cognitive Approaches, ed. by Claire Lefebvre. Amsterdam, Benjamins:185-211.
- Lu Bingfu and Duanmu San 2002: "Rhythm and Syntax in Chinese." In: *Journal of the Chinese Language Teachers' Association* 37.2 (2002):123-135.
- Lǚ Shūxiāng 呂叔湘 and Ráo Chángróng 饶长溶 1981: "Shìlùn fēi-wèi-xíngróngcí 试论非谓形容词." In: Zhōngguó yǔwén 2 (1981):81-85.
- Lǔ Shūxiāng 呂叔湘 et al. (eds.) 2000: *Xiàndài hànyǔ bābáicí* 现代汉语八百词 [800 Words of Contemporary Chinese] (Revised edition). Běijīng, Shāngwù yìnshūguǎn 商务印书馆.
- McCawley, James 1992: "Justifying Part-of-speech Assignment in Mandarin Chinese." In: *Journal of Chinese Linguistics* 20.2 (1992):211-245.
- Packard, Jerome L. (ed.) 1997: New Approaches to Chinese Word Formation. Berlin, Mouton de Gruyter.
- Paris, Marie-Claude 1979: Nominalization in Mandarin Chinese. The Morpheme *de* and the *shì...de* Construction. Paris, Département de Recherches Linguistiques, Université de Paris 7.
- Paul, Waltraud 2004: Adjectival Reduplication in Mandarin Chinese. Paper presented at the 11th International Morphology Meeting, University of Vienna, February 14 17, 2004.

- Paul, Waltraud 2005: "Adjectival Modification in Mandarin Chinese and Related Issues." In: *Linguistics* 43.4 (2005):757 793.
- Sproat, Richard and Chilin Shih 1988: "Prenominal Adjectival Ordering in English and Mandarin." In: *Proceedings of NELS 18*, vol. 2:465 489.
- Tāng Tíng-Chíh 湯廷池 1988: Guóyǔ xíngróngcí de chóngdié guīlù 国语形容词的重叠规律 [Reduplication Rules for Adjectives in Mandarin Chinese]. In: Hànyǔ cífǎ jùfǎ lùnjí 汉语词法句法论集 [Studies on Chinese Morphology and Syntax], ed. by Tang T.-C. Taipei, Student Book Company:29-57.
- Xiāo Fù 萧父 1956: "Míngcíxìng cízǔ zhōng 'de' zì de zuòyòng 名词性词组中 '的'字的作用 [The Function of de in Noun Phrases]." In: Zhōngguó yǔwén 3 (1956):23-26.
- Zhū Déxī 朱德熙 1956/1980: "Xiàndài hànyǔ xíngróngcí yánjiū 现代汉语形容词 研究 [A Study of Adjectives in Modern Chinese]." In: Yǔyán yánjiū 1 (1956) (reprinted in Zhū Déxī (ed.) 1980: Xiàndài hànyǔ yǔfǎ yánjiū 现代汉语语法研究. Běijīng, Shāngwù yìnshūguǎn:3-41).